## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 4

DATE: May 14, 2021
TO: Don Morehouse | ODOT
FROM: John Bosket, PE; Aaron Berger, PE (WA); Kayla Fleskes, EI | DKS Associates

SUBJECT: US 97 Baker Road IAMP<br>Project \#20020-006<br>Future Baseline (No-Build) Operational Conditions

This memorandum describes transportation operations under the future year 2040 baseline condition within the US 97 Baker Road Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) area of primary impact (API). The baseline condition, or "No-Build" condition, assumes that transportation improvements within the study area that are reasonably expected to be funded by 2040 are constructed, but that no improvements have been made to the US 97/Baker Road interchange. This information, together with the evaluation of current conditions in Technical Memorandum \#3, highlight key deficiencies to be addressed and provide a baseline condition from which to evaluate the potential benefits of improvement concepts considered.

This memorandum does not revisit conditions for people walking and biking. Existing conditions for people walking and biking were discussed in Technical Memorandum \#2. Because there are very few separate facilities for walking and biking in the interchange area, conditions are very poor. With no planned improvements by 2040, these conditions are assumed to worsen.

## FUTURE YEAR (2040) TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Future year 2040 traffic volumes were forecast at the study intersections using the Bend-Redmond Regional Travel Demand Model (BRM). The BRM transportation network included financially constrained projects (i.e., those reasonably expected to be funded and constructed by 2040), as noted in Technical Memorandum \#1, Appendix A: Methodology Memorandum. Key financially constrained projects include:

- US 97/Murphy Road northbound on-ramp and southbound off-ramp
- Closure of at-grade US 97 right-on, right-off access at China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street and Rocking Horse Court
- China Hat Road overcrossing of US 97 (including a realignment of Parrell Road at China Hat Road to accommodate the overcrossing)
- China Hat Road/Knott Road roundabout

The land use assumptions used for this analysis are consistent with the assumptions in the recently updated Bend Transportation System Plan (TSP), which include a significant amount of land use growth in the "thumb" (area between US 97, Knott Road, and China Hat Road) and the SE Area (area between $15^{\text {th }}$ Street, Knott Road, $27^{\text {th }}$ Street, and Ferguson Road). In the "thumb" area, in particular, approximately 600 households and 3,900 jobs are assumed by 2040, leading to nearly 1,300 trips exiting and 975 trips entering the area during the 2040 weekday PM peak hour. The majority of the trips entering and exiting the "thumb" utilize the US 97/Baker Road interchange or China Hat Road.

There is some degree of uncertainty when forecasting future traffic volumes, especially in light of what could be lasting travel behavior changes brought about by the pandemic or the continued proliferation of connected and autonomous vehicles. While traffic volumes in Bend and other metropolitan areas appear to be returning to pre-pandemic levels, it is still unknown if travel demand by time of day, and specifically during the peak periods, will be lower as a result of more people working from home. To respond to this uncertainty, as a preferred improvement alternative is identified the project team will conduct a sensitivity analysis to confirm the need for recommended elements under varying levels of future traffic demand.

Weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections were forecast using the methodology outlined in the Methodology Memorandum. Figure 1 shows the post-processed 2040 weekday PM peak hour (design hour ${ }^{1}$ ) baseline turning movement volumes at the study intersections. Table 1 lists the traffic growth on major streets within the API. The largest growth in traffic is related to the "thumb" area. Westbound traffic accessing US 97 northbound at the US 97/Baker Road interchange increases by approximately 460 vehicles during the peak hour compared to existing conditions. US 97 southbound traffic heading towards the "thumb" area also increases, with southbound left turns from the US 97/Baker Road southbound ramp increasing by approximately 170 vehicles.

TABLE 1: FUTURE TRAFFIC GROWTH ON MAJOR ROADWAYS IN THE API

| ROADWAY | LOCATION | 2040 DESIGN HOUR <br> TRAFFIC VOLUME | TRAFFIC <br> GROWTH <br> (2017 To <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0 )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US 97 SOUTHBOUND | Between Baker Rd and China Hat Rd | 2,525 | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ |
| US 97 NORTHBOUND | Between Baker Rd and China Hat Rd | 1,870 | $87 \%$ |
| BAKER RD | West of the US 97/Baker Rd interchange | 1,660 | $15 \%$ |
| KNOTT RD | East of the US 97/Baker Rd interchange | 1,775 | $114 \%$ |
| CHINA HAT RD | East of Parrell Rd | 1,115 | $337 \%$ |

[^0]

FIGURE 1: FUTURE 2040 PM PEAK HOUR BASELINE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

1- BAKER RD / APACHE RD


4-BAKER RD / KNOTTT RD /
US 97 SB RAMPS


10 - US 97 / ROCKING HORSE CT


STOP SIGN
$\leftarrow$ ne configuration ef turn movement

2 - BAKER RD / CINDER BUTTE RD


5 - KNOTT RD / US 97 NB RAMPS


8 - CHINA HAT RD / PARRELL RD


US 97 / BAKER RD SOUTHBOUND RAMPS ON-RAM


따주N HRough movement GHT TURN MOVEMENT

3-BAKER RD / BAKER CT


6-KNOTT RD / SCALE HOUSE RD


9 - US 97 / CHINA HAT RD


12-US $97 /$ BAKER RD
NORTHBOUND OFF-RAMP NORTHBOUND OFF-RAMP


MOTOR VEHICLE
TRAFFIC VOLUME

## FUTURE BASELINE OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

Intersection operations were analyzed using Synchro and Sidra software with the Highway Capacity Manual $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM 6) methodologies to assess the level of congestion experienced. The analysis was conducted at all study intersections using the year 2040 design hour traffic volumes and lane configurations shown in Figure 1. Performance measures used for this analysis include volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratios, seconds of control delay, and levels of service (LOS). Table 2 summarizes the results of this analysis, with each intersection's performance compared to the adopted mobility target. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{HCM}$ reports are included in Appendix A.

The most significant change in traffic operations seen by 2040 occurs at the US 97/Baker Road interchange ramp terminals as a result of new development to the east. Both intersections failed to meet ODOT's adopted mobility targets under existing conditions and will continue to do so in 2040, but with substantially more delay.

In particular, congestion will be severe at the US 97 northbound ramp terminal. This is largely due to significant growth in demand to travel to and from the east and the lack of a separate eastbound left turn lane that eventually blocks traffic on eastbound Knott Road and consequently limits the ability to make a left turn from the northbound off-ramp. The southbound ramp terminal also experiences a significant increase in delay, mostly resulting from growing demand to make the southbound left turn to reach destinations to the east.

The remaining intersections meet the adopted mobility targets although the stop-controlled approaches at Baker Court/Baker Road and Cinder Butte Road/Baker Road continue to operate at LOS $F$ in the future and experience significant delay.

[^1]TABLE 2: FUTURE 2040 DESIGN HOUR BASELINE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AT STUDY INTERSECTIONS

| ID | STUDY INTERSECTION <br> (MAJOR STREET/MINOR STREET) | CONTROL <br> (EXISTING/ FUTURE) | JURISDICTION | mobility target | EXISTING (2017) RESULTS |  |  | FUTURE (2040) RESULTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | v/C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Los ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY (SEC) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $v / c^{\text {a }}$ | Los ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DELAY (SEC) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | BAKER RD/APACHE RD | TWSC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | County | Average Delay $\leq 35$ secs | 0.36 / 0.05 | A / B | 1 | 0.42 / 0.07 | A / B | 1 |
| 2 | BAKER RD/CINDER BUTTE RD | TWSC | County | Average Delay $\leq 35$ secs | 0.62 / 0.33 | A / F | 6 | 0.71 / 0.66 | A / F | 9 |
| 3 | BAKER RD/BAKER CT | TWSC | County | Average Delay $\leq 35$ secs | $0.59 / 0.48$ | A / F | 4 | 0.68 / 0.79 | A / F | 6 |
| 4 | BAKER RD/KNOTT RD/ US 97 SB RAMPS | TWSC | ODOT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.95(\text { Knott Rd }) \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85(\text { ramp }) \end{gathered}$ | 0.31 / 0.95 | A / E | 8/46 | 0.37/1.32 | A / F | 9 / 220 |
| 5 | KNOTT RD/US 97 NB RAMPS | TWSC | ODOT | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.95(\text { Knott Rd) } \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85(\text { ramp }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.47 / 2.63 | A / F | $11 />300$ | 0.92 / > 3.0 | C / F | 23 / > 300 |
| 6 | KNOTT RD/SCALE HOUSE RD | TWSC | County | LOS D | NA / 0.03 | NA / B | NA / 14 | NA / 0.09 | NA / E | NA / 36 |
| 7 | KNOTT RD/CHINA HAT RD | TWSC / Roundabout | City | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 1.0$ | $0.01 / 0.52$ | A / E | 9 / 37 | 0.76 | B | 15 |
| 8 | CHINA HAT RD/PARRELL RD | TWSC | City | Critical Movement Delay $\leq 50$ secs | 0.37 / 0.17 | A / B | $8 / 11$ | 0.37 / 0.45 | A / C | 9 / 18 |
| 9 | US 97/CHINA HAT RD/ PONDEROSA ST | TWSC / Closed | ODOT | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85$ (major) | NA / 0.28 | NA / C | NA / 19 | NA | NA | NA |
| 10 | US 97/ROCKING HORSE RD | TWSC / Closed | ODOT | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85$ (major) | NA / 0.02 | NA / C | NA / 18 | NA | NA | NA |

Major streets are those not stop-controlled at intersections, while minor streets are stop-controlled
 match the existing mobility targets.

US 97 highway operations were analyzed for both northbound and southbound directions in the API. This included an evaluation of the levels of congestion occurring at the ramp connections where merging and diverging movements happen. Table 3 shows the US 97 mainline highway operations results for the merging and diverging areas. All ramp connections are expected to operate well in the future and comply with adopted mobility targets. For this analysis, FREEVAL software with HCM 6 methodologies was used. FREEVAL outputs are included in Appendix B.

TABLE 3: FUTURE 2040 BASELINE US 97 MAINLINE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS DURING WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR OF TRAFFIC

| SEGMENT | SEGMENT TYPE | V/C MOBILITY <br> TARGET | V/C | LOS ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US 97 NORTHBOUND |  |  |  |  |
| KNOTT RD OFF-RAMP | Diverge | 0.85 | 0.30 | B |
| KNOTT RD ON-RAMP | Merge | 0.85 | 0.45 | B |
| US 97 SOUTHBOUND |  |  | 0.58 | C |
| BAKER RD OFF-RAMP | Diverge | 0.85 | 0.46 | B |
| BAKER RD ON-RAMP | Merge | 0.85 |  |  |
| A LOS is based on density of traffic. |  |  | 0.0 |  |

## VEHICLE QUEUING ANALYSIS

Vehicle queue lengths on intersection approaches in the API were estimated using SimTraffic. With traffic forecasts indicating over-capacity conditions, the two-way stop-controlled ramp terminal intersections generate queues that back up along Baker Road/Knott Road and onto the US 97 mainline, as explained in Figure 2.


FIGURE 2. FUTURE 2040 PM PEAK HOUR BASELINE (NO-BUILD) QUEUEING
(1) In the future, there is significant growth in eastbound traffic (to access new land use in the "thumb" area). Without a separate left turn lane, eastbound left turn vehicles block the through lane and cause extensive eastbound queuing on Knott Road/Baker Road (extending beyond Brookswood Blvd).
(2) Because of the issue noted in (1), there are effectively no safe gaps in traffic for northbound left turning vehicles to enter Knott Road. The northbound left queue eventually blocks the northbound right turn lane and queues back to the US 97 mainline
(3) The eastbound queue at the US 97 northbound ramp extends beyond the US 97 southbound ramp This blocks southbound left turning vehicles from entering Knott Road/Baker Road. The southbound left turn queue eventually blocks the southbound right turn lane and queues back to the US 97 mainline. Note that even if the eastbound queue at the US 97 northbound ramp did not extend to the US 97 southbound ramp, it is likely that the queue for the southbound left turn would still block access to the southbound right turn lane.
(4) Since the eastbound queue at the US 97 northbound ramp extends through all of the study intersections, significant queuing develops on all of the side street approaches on Baker Road because they are unable to turn out unless another driver lets them in.
(5) Even though the queuing and congestion on the US 97 ramps limits the amount of westbound traffic that can reach Cinder Butter Road/Baker Road, the lack of a westbound left turn lane causes the westbound approach to back up to the railroad tracks. Without constraints at the ramp terminals, this queue would likely extend farther.
(6) Without a separate westbound right turn lane, vehicles slowing to make a westbound right turn cause westbound queues to extend nearly to Scale House Road.

In particular, the eastbound left turn from Knott Road to US 97 northbound causes extensive eastbound queueing on Knott Road/Baker Road, causing the ramp and side street approaches to queue extensively as shown in Figure 2. Table 4 lists the queuing on the approaches where notable queuing was documented in Technical Memorandum \#3: Current Conditions. Table 4 also notes where specific movement queues were either negatively impacted by downstream queuing, or positively impacted by upstream queues that limited conflicting traffic. Queueing results for all study intersections in the API are included in the SimTraffic reports in Appendix A.

TABLE 4: FUTURE 2040 BASELINE INTERSECTION VEHICLE QUEUING

| ID | STUDY INTERSECTION | MOVEMENT | $95^{\text {TH }}$ PERCENTILE QUEUE (FT) |  | APPROXIMATE <br> AVAILABLE <br> STORAGE (FT) ${ }^{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXISTING } \\ (2017) \end{gathered}$ | FUTURE <br> (2040) |  |
| 2 | BAKER RD/ CINDER BUTTE RD | NB | 225 | >400 * | 250 |
|  |  | WB | 175 | $150{ }^{\text {B }}$ | 100 |
| 4 | BAKER RD/ KNOTT RD/ US 97 SB RAMPS | SBL | $425{ }^{\text {c }}$ | >1,500 * | 275 |
|  |  | SBR | 1,000 | >1,500 * | $725{ }^{\text {D }}$ |
|  |  | EB | 75 | >1,300 * | 75 |
| 5 | KNOTT RD/US 97 NB RAMPS | NBL | 100 | >1,200 * | 150 |
|  |  | NBR | 50 | >1,200 * | 375 |
|  |  | EBL | 175 | $>775$ * | 700 |

Bold and red queue exceeds approximate available storage.

* = Queuing significantly exceeds storage due to downstream queue spillback queues
${ }^{\text {A }}$ Available storage reported as approximate turn bay length or approximate distance to nearest intersection/railroad crossing.
${ }^{B}$ Upstream congestion is metering the flow of arriving traffic, resulting in shorter queues.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Turn bay frequently blocked by southbound right queue.
${ }^{D}$ Distance represents distance to allow vehicles leaving the highway mainline to safely come to a stop. 820 feet is needed from the gore point for safe stopping distance, assuming a 75 mph design speed, based on AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets.


## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR STREET NETWORK CHANGES

In addition to the baseline scenario, intersection operations were analyzed for two sensitivity scenarios. The future sensitivity analysis considered different street network assumptions to provide a range of forecasts for future traffic at the US 97/ Baker Road interchange and within the API. The sensitivity tests assume the same land use as the future baseline No-Build conditions (year 2040). The following network changes were tested for the two sensitivity scenarios, which
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focused on the China Hat Road Overcrossing Project and US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street right-on, right-off closure Project:

- Scenario 1: Maintain existing US 97 right-on, right-off access at US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street without constructing the China Hat overcrossing of US 97 (existing configuration)
- Scenario 2: Close the right-on, right-off access at US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street without constructing the China Hat overcrossing

The sensitivity scenarios showed a shift in traffic volumes, particularly at the interchange ramp terminals and at the intersections of US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street and China Hat Road/Parrell Road. The traffic volume shifts related from each scenario are related to the two projects under consideration as discussed below:

- Maintain existing access at US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street (Scenario 1): Instead of utilizing the US 97/Baker Road interchange, vehicles wanting to travel northbound on US 97 can utilize the right-on, right-off access at US 97/China Hat Road. In particular, this decreases westbound right turns at the Baker Road/US 97 northbound on-ramp by nearly 30 percent compared to the baseline scenario.
- Without the China Hat Road Overcrossing Project (Scenario 2): Instead of utilizing the US 97/Murphy interchange and the China Hat Road overcrossing to access the "thumb", vehicles instead split travel between the US 97/Baker Road interchange and Parrell Road. In particular, removing the China Hat Road overcrossing increases southbound left turns at the Baker Road/US 97 southbound off-ramp by approximately 40 percent compared to the baseline scenario. There is a reduction in traffic on China Hat Road by approximately 40 percent without a connection over US 97 and an increase in traffic on Parrell Road by 70 percent, which is identified as a key walking and bicycling route in the Bend Transportation System Plan. Without the China Hat Road overcrossing, traffic headed for the Murphy Road interchange is concentrated on the east side of US 97, resulting in more traffic through the Murphy Road/3rd Street and Murphy Road/Parrell Road intersections. These intersections would be operating at or over capacity without the new street connections west of US 97 between China Hat Road and Murphy Road.


## SENSITIVITY TEST INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the sensitivity testing on four key intersections to understand the range of future traffic impacts likely to occur. HCM reports are included in Appendix C.
table 5: Sensitivity test of future (2040) Weekday pm peak hour traffic operations at key study intersections

| ID | STUDY INTERSECTION (MAJOR STREET/MINOR STREET) | CONTROL (BASELINE/ SCENARIO $1 /$ SCENARIO 2) | JURISDICTION | v/C mobility target | baseline |  |  | SCENARIO 1 |  |  | SCENARIO 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (WITH AT-GRADE US 97 ACCESS CLOSURE AND CHINA HAT OVERCROSSING) |  |  | (MAINTAIN AT-GRADE US 97 ACCESS AND NO CHINA HAT OVERCROSSING) |  |  | (WITH AT-GRADE US 97 ACCESS CLOSURE AND NO CHINA HAT OVERCROSSING) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | v/C | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELAY } \\ & \text { (SECC) } \end{aligned}$ | v/C | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELAY } \\ & \text { (SEC) } \end{aligned}$ | v/c | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELAY } \\ & \text { (SECC) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | BAKER RD/ KNOTT RD/ US 97 SB RAMPS | TWSC | ODOT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.95(\text { Knott Rd) } \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85(\text { ramp } \end{gathered}$ | 0.37 / 1.32 | A / F | 9 / 220 | 0.37 / 1.97 | A / F | 9 / >300 | 0.37 / 1.97 | A / F | 9 / >300 |
| 5 | KNOTT RD/US 97 NB RAMPS | TWSC | ODOT | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.95(\text { Knott Rd) } \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85(\text { ramp }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.92 / >3.0 | C / F | $23 />300$ | 0.92 / >3.0 | C / F | $23 />300$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 / \\ >3.0 \end{gathered}$ | D / F | 27 / >300 |
| 8 | CHINA HAT RD/PARRELL RD | TWSC | City | Critical Movement Delay $\leq 50$ secs | 0.37 / 0.45 | A / C | 9 / 18 | 0.02 / 1.0 | A / F | 9 / 75 | NA / 0.48 | NA / B | NA / 14 |
| 9 | US 97/ CHINA HAT RD/ PONDEROSA ST | TWSC / TWSC / Closed | ODOT | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.85$ (major) <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 0.95$ (minor) | NA | NA | NA | NA / 1.8 | NA / F | NA / > 300 | NA | NA | NA |

Bold and red indicates mobility target/standard is not met.
Najor streets are those not stop-controlled at intersections, while minor streets are stop-controlled. ${ }^{\text {A }} \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio and LOS reported as worst major street/minor street movement at two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersections. Delay reported as worst major street/minor street movement for ODOT and City intersections and average for County intersections, to best match the existing mobility targets. Results reported as worst approach lane for roundabouts.

The range of potential impacts at each intersection include:

- Baker Road/Knott Road/US 97 Southbound Ramps: With an increase in southbound left turns at Baker Road/US 97 southbound ramps, the v/c ratio increases to 1.97 (compared to 1.32 under the baseline scenario) under both sensitivity scenarios due to the lack of overcrossing at China Hat Road.
- Knott Road/US 97 Northbound Ramps: In all scenarios, the intersection operates over capacity and does not meet mobility targets as a two-way stop-controlled intersection. In Scenario 2, more traffic is traveling eastbound (from the lack of an overcrossing at China Hat Road). This increase in eastbound traffic causes the major approach on Knott Road to also operate over capacity (v/c ratio of 1.02) for a shared left turn-through lane.
- China Hat Road/Parrell Road: Without the China Hat Road overcrossing, China Hat Road/Parrell Road would fail to meet City of Bend mobility targets if the at-grade right-on, rightoff access at US 97/China Hat Road remained.
- US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street: Maintaining the existing at-grade right-on, rightoff access at US 97/China Hat Road in the future would create a situation with significant queueing on the China Hat Road approach as traffic from the "thumb" area accesses US 97 northbound. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for the westbound approach is forecast to exceed 1.80 , which would result in aggressive gap acceptance for vehicles making right turns onto US 97 and queueing along westbound China Hat Road.

Overall, the sensitivity tests indicate that the China Hat Road Overcrossing Project is critical to serving future demand in the "thumb" area by limiting traffic increases on Parrell Road and reducing traffic impacts to the US 97/Baker Road interchange. The sensitivity tests also indicate that while keeping the right-on, right-off access at US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street open does relieve some traffic stress from the US 97/Baker Road interchange (particularly the northbound on-ramp), the minor benefits at the US 97/Baker Road interchange would not offset the negative operational impacts to China Hat Road and US 97 under full development conditions in the "thumb".

Both the US 97 Parkway Plan and the Bend TSP identify a closure of the at-grade access at US 97/China Hat Road/Ponderosa Street. Maintaining at-grade access into the future would require the addition of acceleration and deceleration lanes at the intersection. In particular, an acceleration lane for westbound right vehicles would extend to within approximately 1,000 feet of the US 97 northbound off-ramp at Murphy Road. This close proximity would create weaving maneuvers on the highway between the entrance and exit that would result in congestion and could be a potential safety hazard.

## APPENDIX A: FUTURE BASELINE HCM AND QUEUING REPORTS

## DKS

Shared Major Street Left Turn Approach V/C Ratio Adjustment

There is a limitation of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) unsignalized intersection methodology for shared left turn approaches. Major street left turns are always treated as exclusive turn lanes regardless of how they are coded. This can result in very low shared left turn v/c ratios (like 0.01 ) on an approach that should be over capacity. Shared major left turn vehicles are approximated in the HCM methodology by adjusting the potential for a "queuefree state" in the case of delaying through movement vehicles. The table below shows the inputs used to calculate the adjusted shared major street approach v/c ratio per ODOT Analysis and Procedures Manual Chapter 12.

Future No Build (Baseline) Results

|  |  | Output from Synchro: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Intersection | Movement | Left Turn V/C | Thru Vol Adjusted V/C |  |
| 1 | Apache Rd \& Baker Rd | WBL | 0.066 | 595 | 0.42 |
| 2 | Cinder Butte Rd/Pochahontas Ln \& Baker Rd | WBL | 0.337 | 640 | 0.71 |
| 2 | Cinder Butte Rd/Pochahontas Ln \& Baker Rd | EBL | 0.013 | 340 | 0.21 |
| 3 | Baker Ct \& Baker Rd | WBL | 0.105 | 970 | 0.68 |
| 4 | Baker Rd/Knott Rd \& US 97 SB ramp | EBL | 0.064 | 520 | 0.37 |
| 5 | Knott Rd \& US 97 NB on ramp | EBL | 0.661 | 440 | 0.92 |
| 8 | Parrell Rd \& China Hat Rd | WBL | 0.16 | 355 | 0.37 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |



HCM 6th TWSC
2: Cinder Butte Rd/Pocahontas Ln \& Baker Rd

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 340 | 20 | 340 | 630 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 145 | 10 | , | 5 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 340 | 20 | 340 | 630 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 145 | 10 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 378 | 22 | 378 | 700 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 161 | 11 | 0 | 6 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 450 | 45 | 100 | 950 | 50 | 135 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 450 | 45 | 100 | 950 | 50 | 135 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 75 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 474 | 47 | 105 | 1000 | 53 | 142 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 859.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 330 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 665 | 480 | 55 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 330 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 665 | 480 | 55 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | Stop | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 300 | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 6965 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 15 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mumt Flow | 363 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 731 | 527 | 60 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



## USER REPORT FOR SITE

Project: Baker IAMP - 01 - Future No Build

Site: 7 [China Hat Road/Knott Road RAB]
New Site
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

## Site Layout



Input Volumes

Volume Display Method: Total and \%


|  | All MCs | Light Vehicles (LV) | Heavy Vehicles (HV) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S: China Hat Road | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| E: Knott Road | 875 | 866 | 9 |
| N: China Hat Road | 340 | 328 | 12 |
| W: Knott Road | 410 | 395 | 15 |
| Total | 1685 | 1650 | 35 |


| Lane Use and Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand <br> Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. \% | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Bac <br> Veh | Queue Dist ft | Lane Config | Lane Length ft | Cap. <br> Adj. <br> \% | Prob. Block. \% |
| South: China Hat Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 67 | 0.0 | 621 | 0.107 | 100 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.5 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 67 | 0.0 |  | 0.107 |  | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.4 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| East: Knott Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 972 | 1.0 | 1284 | 0.757 | 100 | 14.7 | LOS B | 10.6 | 266.0 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 972 | 1.0 |  | 0.757 |  | 14.7 | LOS B | 10.6 | 266.0 |  |  |  |  |
| North: China Hat Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 378 | 3.4 | 623 | 0.606 | 100 | 17.3 | LOS C | 4.5 | 115.6 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 378 | 3.4 |  | 0.606 |  | 17.3 | LOS C | 4.5 | 115.6 |  |  |  |  |
| West: Knott Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane $1^{\text {d }}$ | 456 | 3.7 | 898 | 0.507 | 100 | 10.6 | LOS B | 3.7 | 95.4 | Full | 1600 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 456 | 3.7 |  | 0.507 |  | 10.6 | LOS B | 3.7 | 95.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | 1872 | 2.1 |  | 0.757 |  | 14.0 | LOS B | 10.6 | 266.0 |  |  |  |  |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Lane LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per lane.
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of lane delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all lanes (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 6.
HCM Delay Formula option is used. Control Delay does not include Geometric Delay since Exclude Geometric Delay option applies. Gap-Acceptance Capacity: Traditional M1.
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
d Dominant lane on roundabout approach
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |  | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 325 | 45 | 165 | 355 | 25 | 270 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 325 | 45 | 165 | 355 | 25 | 270 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 250 | - | 150 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 361 | 50 | 183 | 394 | 28 | 300 |







Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Baseline PM
Intersection: 1: Apache Rd \& Baker Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2188 | 49 | 342 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1493 | 4 | 172 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 2834 | 26 | 395 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2191 | 828 | 367 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 36 |  | 18 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 0 |

Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage BIk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Intersection: 2: Cinder Butte Rd/Pocahontas Ln \& Baker Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 841 | 236 | 352 | 324 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 804 | 31 | 335 | 209 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 995 | 132 | 383 | 380 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 828 | 286 | 337 | 322 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 61 | 0 | 96 | 27 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 224 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Baker Ct \& Baker Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 300 | 118 | 515 | 517 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 289 | 23 | 380 | 495 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 297 | 94 | 719 | 546 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 286 | 83 | 498 | 498 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 72 | 9 | 73 | 95 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 356 | 97 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Baseline PM
Intersection: 4: Baker Rd/Knott Rd \& US 97 SB Ramp

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 438 | 112 | 425 | 611 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 47 | 9 | 410 | 589 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 313 | 83 | 474 | 606 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 83 | 758 |  |  | 555 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 79 | 1 |  |  | 93 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 464 | 9 |  |  | 844 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  | 95 | 17 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 12 |  | 620 | 42 |

Intersection: 5: Knott Rd \& US 97 NB On Ramp

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | B91 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LT | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 774 | 370 | 643 | 306 | 523 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 763 | 103 | 584 | 53 | 416 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 772 | 312 | 774 | 272 | 742 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 758 | 450 | 573 |  | 516 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 72 | 1 | 83 |  | 76 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 557 | 10 | 205 |  | 184 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 93 | 9 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 180 | 5 |  |

Intersection: 6: Scale House Rd \& Knott Rd

| Movement | WB | B19 | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 366 | 17 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 0 | 23 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 289 | 0 | 85 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1198 | 1369 | 196 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report

Intersection: 7: China Hat Rd \& Knott Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 102 | 330 | 50 | 245 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 95 | 15 | 94 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 282 | 45 | 181 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1848 | 325 | 227 | 1110 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8: Parrell Rd \& China Hat Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 102 | 50 | 148 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 36 | 18 | 73 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 76 | 47 | 123 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  | 244 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 9: US 97 \& Ponderosa St/China Hat Rd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2219 | 2213 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1808 | 1808 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3097 | 3096 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2154 | 2154 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 78 | 79 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Baseline PM
Intersection: 10: US 97 \& Rocking Horse Ct

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 1142 | 1147 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 973 | 975 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 1569 | 1570 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1111 | 1111 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 61 | 63 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 762 | 793 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 60: China Hat Rd \& Stonegate Dr

| Movement | SW |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 379 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

## Intersection: 90: US 97 SB Ramp/US 97 SB Off Ramp \& US 97 On Ramp

| Movement | SW |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 1211 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1180 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 1343 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1189 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 79 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 713 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Baseline PM
Intersection: 118: US 97

| Movement | NB | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 48 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 23 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1151 | 438 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 119: US 97 \& US 97 On Ramp
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 120: US 97 \& US 97 NB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 3429 | 3428 | 183 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1866 | 1879 | 118 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4267 | 4262 | 272 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3415 | 3415 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 38 | 38 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 10 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 76 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 391 |

Queuing and Blocking Report
Future Baseline PM
Intersection: 153: US 97 \& US 97 SB Off Ramp

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 9 | 46 | 3468 | 3468 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 2 | 3203 | 3216 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 35 | 4493 | 4473 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 274 | 274 | 3444 | 3444 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 64 | 66 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 803 | 826 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 8101

## APPENDIX B: FUTURE BASELINE FREEVAL OUTPUT



| गment | Seg. 1 | Seg. 2 | Seg. 3 | Seg. 4 | Seg. 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Purpose Segment Data | * | * | * | * | * |
| General Purpose Segment Name | US 97 SB | Baker SB Off-Ramp | Baker ramp to ramp | Baker on-ramp | South of Baker |
| General Purpose Segment Type | Basic | Off Ramp | Basic | On Ramp | Basic |
| Segment Length (ft) | 2,640 | 1,500 | 1,425 | 1,500 | 2,640 |
| Free Flow Speed (mph) | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Mainline Dem. (vph) | 2,525 | 2525 | 1645 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Mainline Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Mainline Tractor Trailer (\%) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Acc/Dec Lane Length (ft) |  | 200 |  | 700 |  |
| \# Lanes: ONR |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| ONR Free Flow Speed (mph) |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| ONR/Entering Dem. (vph) |  |  |  | 365 |  |
| ONR Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) |  |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| ONR Tractor Trailer (\%) |  |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| \# Lanes: OFR |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| OFR Free Flow Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  |  |
| OFR/Exit Dem. (vph) |  | 880 |  |  |  |
| OFR Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| OFR Tractor Trailer (\%) |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Processed Segment Type | Basic | Off Ramp | Basic | On Ramp | Basic |
| Total Density (veh/mi/ln) | 19.4 | 24.0 | 12.7 | 17.4 | 15.5 |
| Total Density (pc/mi/ln) | 21.0 | 25.9 | 13.7 | 18.8 | 16.7 |
| D/C | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Density Based LOS | C | C | B | B | B |
| Actual Travel Time (min) | 0.46 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.46 |
| FFS Travel Time (min) | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| Mainline Delay (min) | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |



| Segment | Seg. 1 | Seg. 2 | Seg. 3 | Seg. 4 | Seg. 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Purpose Segment Data | * | * | * | * | * |
| General Purpose Segment Name | S of Baker | Baker off-ramp | Baker ramp to ramp | Baker On-Ramp | US 97 NB |
| General Purpose Segment Type | Basic | Off Ramp | Basic | On Ramp | Basic |
| Segment Length (ft) | 2,640 | 1,500 | 2,510 | 1,500 | 2,640 |
| Free Flow Speed (mph) | 65 | 65 | 65 | 55 | 45 |
| Mainline Dem. (vph) | 1,310 | 1310 | 1060 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Mainline Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Mainline Tractor Trailer (\%) | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Acc/Dec Lane Length (ft) |  | 230 |  | 700 |  |
| \# Lanes: ONR |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| ONR Free Flow Speed (mph) |  |  |  | 45 |  |
| ONR/Entering Dem. (vph) |  |  |  | 810 |  |
| ONR Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) |  |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| ONR Tractor Trailer (\%) |  |  |  | 4.00 |  |
| \# Lanes: OFR |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| OFR Free Flow Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  |  |
| OFR/Exit Dem. (vph) |  | 250 |  |  |  |
| OFR Single Unit Truck and Bus (\%) |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| OFR Tractor Trailer (\%) |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Processed Segment Type | Basic | Off Ramp | Basic | On Ramp | Basic |
| Total Density (veh/mi/ln) | 10.1 | 13.3 | 8.2 | 18.2 | 20.8 |
| Total Density (pc/mi/ln) | 10.9 | 14.3 | 8.8 | 19.7 | 22.4 |
| D/C | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.47 |
| V/C | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.47 |
| Density Based LOS | A | B | A | B | C |
| Actual Travel Time (min) | 0.46 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
| FFS Travel Time (min) | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.67 |
| Mainline Delay (min) | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 |

## APPENDIX C: FUTURE SENSITIVTY TESTING HCM REPORTS

## DKS

## Shared Major Street Left Turn Approach V/C Ratio Adjustment

There is a limitation of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) unsignalized intersection methodology for shared left turn approaches. Major street left turns are always treated as exclusive turn lanes regardless of how they are coded. This can result in very low shared left turn v/c ratios (like 0.01) on an approach that should be over capacity. Shared major left turn vehicles are approximated in the HCM methodology by adjusting the potential for a "queue-free state" in the case of delaying through movement vehicles. The table below shows the inputs used to calculate the adjusted shared major street approach v/c ratio per ODOT Analysis and Procedures Manual Chapter 12.

Future Sensitivity Scenario 1 Results

|  |  | Output from Synchro: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ID | Intersection | Movement | Left Turn V/C | Thru Vol Adjusted V/C |  |
| 4 | Baker Rd/Knott Rd \& US 97 SB ramp | EBL | 0.068 | 520 | 0.37 |
| 5 | Knott Rd \& US 97 NB on ramp | EBL | 0.615 | 525 | 0.92 |
| 8 | Parrell Rd \& China Hat Rd | WBL | 0.013 | 10 | 0.02 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 107 | 107.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 520 | 490 | 300 | 335 | 630 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 520 | 490 | 300 | 335 | 630 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | Yield | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 50 | 180 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 69 | 553 | 521 | 319 | 356 | 670 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 4 | b |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 10 | 480 | 165 | 360 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 10 | 480 | 165 | 360 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 15 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 11 | 533 | 183 | 400 | 28 |





## DKS

## Shared Major Street Left Turn Approach V/C Ratio Adjustment

There is a limitation of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) unsignalized intersection methodology for shared left turn approaches. Major street left turns are always treated as exclusive turn lanes regardless of how they are coded. This can result in very low shared left turn v/c ratios (like 0.01) on an approach that should be over capacity. Shared major left turn vehicles are approximated in the HCM methodology by adjusting the potential for a "queue-free state" in the case of delaying through movement vehicles. The table below shows the inputs used to calculate the adjusted shared major street approach v/c ratio per ODOT Analysis and Procedures Manual Chapter 12.

Future Sensitivity Scenario 2 Results

|  |  |  | Output from Synchro: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Intersection | Movement | Left Turn V/C | Thru Vol Adjusted V/C |  |
| 4 | Baker Rd/Knott Rd \& US 97 SB ramp | EBL | 0.068 | 520 | 0.37 |
| 5 | Knott Rd \& US 97 NB on ramp | EBL | 0.707 | 525 | 1.02 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1335 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 2375 | 2638 | 577 |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | 1303 | 1303 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1072 | 1335 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - | - | - | - | 9.42 | 10.5 | 7.72 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8.42 | 9.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8.42 | 9.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.227 | - | - | - | - |  | 3.518 | 4.9 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 513 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | $\sim 5$ |  | 406 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | 86 | 50 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 135 | 47 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 513 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 406 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 135 | 0 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 10.5 | 0 |  |

HCM LOS

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -406 | 513 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.528 | 0.707 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -23.4 | 27.2 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | C | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 3 | 5.6 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 383 | 0 | - | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 192 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.21 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.309 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1175 | - | - | - | 797 | 852 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 841 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1175 | - | - | - | 797 | 852 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 797 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 841 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 13.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1175 | - | - | - | 797 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | 0.481 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 13.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 2.6 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Design hour volumes represent future year $30^{\text {th }}$ highest annual hour (typically summer PM peak hour) traffic volumes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Mobility targets for ODOT facilities obtained from the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan. Mobility standards for City facilities based on City Development Code 4.7.500. Mobility standards for County facilities obtained from the Deschutes County Transportation System Plan.

